ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಗೌಡ.—ಆಗ ಕಮಿಟಿ ಫಾರಂ ಆಗಲಲ್ಲ. ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ, ಇತರ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಾದ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸ, ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ವಗೈರೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸಣ್ಣ ನೌಕರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳು ದೊರೆಯುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಕೆಲವು ಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ದೊರಕಿವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಮಿಟಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುವು ದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ? ಅವರಿಗಿಂತಲೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳ ನೌಕರರ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಇವರು ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಬಾರ ದಾಗಿತ್ತು?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—The Government felt that it would not be feasible to give this work also for this Committee.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಗೌಡ.—ನಮ್ಮ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ strike ನಡೆಯುವಾಗ ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿ ಕರ ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿ, ಬೆಂಬಲ, ಸುತರಾಂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—That is true; the public in general were not in sympathy with the strike.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಗೌಡ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ public property ಕೆಲವು damage ಆಗಿವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳು ತ್ತೀರಿ. Damage ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥಾದ್ದು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—About Rs. 10,000.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎ. ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಗೌಡ.—ಇತರ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇವರಿಗಿಂತಲೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ನೌಕ ರರ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಹಾರಮಾಡಲು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ನ್ಯಾಯ ವಲ್ಲವೇ? ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾ ರೆಯೇ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಕೆಲವರು representation ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು examine ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಕಮಿಟಿಯನ್ನು ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

---

## Employees involed in the Strike in the Electrical Department.

*Q.*—659. **Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA** (Sagar-Hosanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of employees present in each section on the day the Electrical strike ended :

(*b*) the number of persons refused to be taken on duty after strike, in each section and the total number of persons not taken on duty;

(*c*) whether all of them are taken to duty in their respective posts held by them previously; if not, the number of persons not taken;

(*d*) the number of employees transferred from one place to another place and from one section to another section after the strike;

(*e*) the number of persons whose appointments have been changed;

(*f*) whether they have given compensation according to the provisions of the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, to such of those employees who were not taken on duty?

*A.*—**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA** (Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*).—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Bangalore Power and Lighting (City Area). | | 1,125 |
| Bangalore Power and Lighting (Civil Area). | | 672 |
| Mysore Power and Lighting. | | 971 |
| Kanakapura | ... | 311 |
| Chikballapur | ... | 795 |
| Bhadravathi | ... | 1,139 |
| Hassan | ... | 665 |
| Kolar Gold Fields | ... | 301 |
| Sivasamudram | ... | 814 |
| Shimshapur | ... | 460 |
| Jog | ... | 802 |
| Frequency Conversion Scheme. | | 78 |
| Underground Cables | ... | 131 |
| Lift Irrigation Scheme | ... | 38 |
| Chief Electrical Engineer's Office. | | 107 |
| Chief Accounts Officer's Office. | | 102 |
| Central Stores | ... | 169 |
| Transmission Lines | ... | 1,917 |
| Electric Laboratory | ... | 106 |
| Executive Engineer, Honnemaradu Surveys. | | 25 |
| Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, Bangalore. | | 74 |
| Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, Tumkur. | | 51 |
| Total | ... | 10,853 |

(DR. R. NAGAN GOWDA.)

(*b*) Nil, on the day the strike ended.

(*c*) Yes, on the day the strike ended.

(*d*) Transferred within the Sections. 35

Transferred from Section to Section. 58

(*e*) Nil.

(*f*) No question of payment of compensation arises merely on account of the fact that the employees have not been taken to duty.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—May I know the number of employees in the Department ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—It is a huge figure, Sir. We have over 10,000 employees.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—Is it not obligatory on the part of Government to reinstate all the employees after the strike was ended, Sir ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Those that came and reported to duty on that day, we took them all.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—May I know the number of employees that have not yet been taken to duty, Sir ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—About 300 and odd labourers on various works. But recently we have taken back 150 men. I might give more definite answer to the previous question. We have just over 12,000 employees in the Department, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—For the previous question he did not give the number of men entertained.

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—I did answer, Sir, but I was anxious to give the previous information that we had 12,000 men. The other one was about 316 labourers who on various accounts were discharged—for want of work. Since then, out of these discharged people, we have re-employed about 150 men.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—When were these people re-employed, Sir, is it during this week or will you kindly let me know the dates, Sir ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—It is like this, Sir. The department is doing a lot of work. The men are temporarily employed. When the work is over they will be discharged. When we take up another work, we take them again. I cannot say how many were taken during this week . . . .

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—Is it not a fact that 71 workers have been transferred without any justification ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—You mean permanent ones ? You please repeat the question.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—Is it not a fact that 71 employees have been indiscriminately transferred ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—In the exigencies of work, we had to transfer some men from one part of the work to the other.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—May I know how many cases are pending and the number of employees involved in those cases ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It is given as 31 cases pending.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—I want to know the number involved ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—It is about 21, Sir.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—May I know the definite charges, if any, framed against these persons ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—All those are pending before the Court. Some are pending trial ; some are under investigation. I will have to make a list of all those cases and give it, Sir.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—Is it not a fact that the Hon'ble Minister made a statement endorsing the terms of the agreement arrived at between Sowcar Chenniah and Sri K. Kannan that all the employees must be re-employed and no such transfers and other things should happen, in addition other terms of agreement, Sir ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—The Electrical Department has got works extending all over the State and because of necessities of work men have to be sent to places where they are required and because of the temporary nature of some works we had to put off some men and take some men back.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—So, are you going to re-employ those who have now been refused to be taken on duty whenever you find work for them ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Those that are under trial for offences of the nature of violence etc. when those cases are disposed of, they will be considered, Sir.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—May I know whether it is a fact that the Electrical Department has employed 2 employees who were dismissed with regard to police strike ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Notice.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that the work in this department is very hazardous and risky Sir ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Well, Sir, almost any kind of work is hazardous ; even cutting brinjals is hazardous.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—Do the Government consider the restoration of normalcy immediately in the interests of the Department and the country Sir ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.— There is normalcy all the time Sir.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—May I know whether the Government has given any assurance to the parties ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—The relation between the employees and the employer in our department is so good, that the department would not think of victimisation. Nobody need worry about that.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that the recent retrenchment is alleged as victimisation by the Union, Sir ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—It is wrong, Sir.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that under the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, whenever retrenchment is effected, those people should be given preference whenever there a vacancy occurs ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I would like to have notice.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact under the Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act, when there is retrenchment and whenever vacancies arise, the retrenched workers alone should be given preference ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Well these are all temporary employees and we have been employing them as and when vacancies have arisen.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Are the Government aware that the temporary employes are also come under the said Industrial Disputes (Amendment) Act ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Yes, they are also thinking of the temporary employees in the Act.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Will the Government assure that whenever such vacancy falls, preference will be given to such of the employees who have been retrenched ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA—We have been taking temporary employees without any discrimination so far as I am aware.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—May I know the demands that the Government has conceded before the Conciliation Officer ? If so, what would be the recurring expenditure of the demands that the Government has conceded ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—As a matter of fact the Electrical Union has repudiated all the concessions of the terms that were thought of during the conciliation proceedings. I do not see any object in finding out what it would have cost us.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—That may be a fact, Sir. Is it not reasonable and justifiable if Government reveals the fact that so much of amount has been spent by them in respect of the strike that occurred ? Will that information be placed before this House and before the public so that they may know these facts ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—It is a matter of opinion, Sir.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—What were the nature of the demands that were placed by the Electrical Department Employees Union before the Government ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.— There were 25 demands covering a number of points.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—Out of the 25 demands, how many were withdrawn on their own accord before the Conciliation Officer ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—As I said just a few minutes ago, the Union, a few days after the strike started, and even before, said that they would not abide by the conditions or anything

(DR. R. NAGAN GOWDA.)

that happened at that time. I do not say . . .

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Sir, I am asking a question pertaining to a period before the strike took place and the Minister is answering to a period just after the strike took place. That is not my point. My question is during the conciliation proceedings, what were the demands that were pressed by the Union ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—I would like to have notice for that so that I may make a list . . .

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—What were the demands accepted by the Department ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Out of the 25, about 15 or 16 were considered.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—What would have been the financial commitments by conceding those demands ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—I am unable tosay that at present.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Mr. Speaker, I am asking a definite question which is a reasonable supplementary arising out of that question. I want a definite answer from the Government.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—He stated that it is not an answer that can be reasonably and readily given. It requires calculation of amounts coming under various demands. Why did you not ask a specific question previously so that Government might be ready with the answer ?

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—I am asking a specific question, Sir, What would have been the commitment of the Government if they had implemented the demands to which they had agreed during the conciliation proceedings ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—They have replied that it is not possible to furnish now.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—During conciliation before the Labour Commissioner, was not an understanding arrived between the Union and the Department ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—No understanding was arrived at. The conciliation proceedings broke off.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Why did the conciliation proceedings break off ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Well, there was no understanding. That is why they broke off.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Why, I would like to know, Sir.

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Sir, when terms are being considered, when they do not come to an agreement, they break off.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—The conciliation proceedings broke off—is it not because the Government imposed a condition of 18 months truce period ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—That question has been put and answered previously.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—After the breaking off of the conciliation proceedings, was it not obligatory on the part of the Government to refer the matter to an industrial tribunal ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—That was not necessary.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Are the Government aware that whenever conciliation proceedings break off, the Government will refer such matters to the industrial tribunal ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—It is not obligatory on the part of the Government to do so. It is only left to the discretion of the Government whether such a case should be referred to the industrial tribunal or not.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Why did not the Government use its discretion to refer that matter to the industrial tribunal ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—We used our discretion not to do so.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Is it not because of non-use of that discretion that the strike took place ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—It is a matter of opinion. As a matter of fact, we used our discretion in the best interests of the State.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—May I know the members of this Committee ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—It is published.

(*Interruption*).

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—I do not know how many Ministers are

answering that question, Sir. I would like to know definitely.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I wish the Hon'ble Members and the Hon'ble Ministers are guided by procedure. If it is a question referring to the industrial tribunal, the Hon'ble Minister for Industries can answer it.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—I am not objecting to the Hon'ble Home Minister answering the question or any member from the Treasury Benches. That is not my point. But there are so many aspiring Ministers and Deputy Ministers who are trying to answer. . .

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Will the Hon'ble Minister say who are the members of the Committee ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Yes, Sir, I will give. On 4th February 1955, Government appointed the following Committee. The members of the Committee are Sri M. S. Swaminathan, I.A.S., Additional Director of Industries and Commerce, Chairman. Mr. N. D. M. Appa, Deputy Chief Electrical Engineer and Mr. Kannan, President of the Government Electrical Department Employees' Union, Members.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Is it not a violation of the agreement arrived at between Sri. Channiah, the President of the Mysore Pradesh Congress Committee and Sri. Kannan when you have appointed a civilian as the Chairman of the Committee, when it was clearly understood that a judicial officer should be appointed as the Chairman of the Committee ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**— There was no such agreement.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Are the Government aware that when he was a District Magistrate, strictures have been passed against him.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—That does not wise, Sri Vasan.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—I have only two more questions.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—We have spent half-an-hour over this question.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—There are six questions on this subject, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—That is why I have allowed half-an-hour for this question.

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—The question that was asked is about an officer of the Government who cannot defend himself here . . . .

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—That is why I have ruled it out.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Are the Government aware that during 1948, when a similar strike by the employees of the Electrical Department took place, the Government did pay wages during the strike period ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Notice.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Are the Government aware that the cow-down methods adopted by the Government and the Police Department did not cow-down the workers ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—In fact, the cap fits the other party, Sir.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—Are the Government aware that when an honourable understanding has been arrived at in a dispute, both the parties should behave honourably and all the workers are taken back ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—I wish to state categorically that the attitude and the activities of the Department throughout from the day the notice of strike was given, till the end of the strike has been very honourable and this has been appreciated by the entire State and the country in general.

**Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.**—If so, how is it that the Government have not thought fit to withdraw those cases which were launched against the workers ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—When the question was examined in greater detail, wherever questions involving technical nature were concerned, Government have withdrawn the cases. Wherever there was violence used and where *mensrea* was involved, Government thought it not fit to use its discretion in favour of withdrawing the cases.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—Was the strike a legal one ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—He is asking for an opinion, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Opinion cannot be furnished.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—It is not a matter of opinion, Sir. The Industrial

(SRI K. S. VASAN.)

Disputes Act lays down conditions to say whether a strike is legal or illegal. I want Government to tell me whether it was a legal or an illegal strike.

*No answer.*

12-30 P.M.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—May I have an answer to the question ? I want to know whether the Hon'ble Minister will be able to furnish the answer whether the strike was legal or illegal.

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—We will have it examined.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—That is a very complicated question. Now that you have asked, let him take time to furnish the answer to the Hon'ble Member.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—How did the Government ascertain that there was no popular public sympathy or backing to the strike ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—It was evident and anybody who was in touch with the public opinion through the Papers at that time would have known that the public in general all over the State were not in sympathy with the activities of some of the Labour Leaders.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—Are not the Government aware of the fact that tens of thousands of industrial workers in the State were moving for a strike, if this strike had not ended ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Lakhs and lakhs of people as against thousands, and even people in adjoining States did not support the strike at all. They were not at all in sympathy with it. Quite a few of the employees notwithstanding themselves were not in sympathy with this strike.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—Then what was the necessity for the Government to impose police bans during the strike period ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—It is to prevent any harm or damage to the property and also for the maintenance of law and order which is the vital duty of the Government.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—Now that it is alleged by the Union that the non-employment of several workers since the termination of the strike and the transfers effected constitute an act of victimisation, will the Government be pleased to refer these issues to the Committee for examination ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—There is no victimisation whatsoever.

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—Does it not constitute an illegal lock-out as far as these workers are concerned ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Hon'ble Member is under a wrong impression. I have said more than once just now in reply to questions that we have been taking men as and when work arises.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಪಕ್ಷದ ಮುಖಂಡರುಗಳು strike ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೂಕ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪುದಾರಿಗೆ ಎಳೆದಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Any other question?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಸಮಿತಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸಿ ಉತ್ತಮವಾದ ಸಂಧಾನ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನು ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿದದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Yes, Sir. We know that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—Strike ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದ ನಂತರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು Union fundನ 10 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ನಿಜವೇ ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—The Department was collecting subscriptions for the Union.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಹಣ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿದಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ಅವರು strike ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿದ್ದು ?

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—Money can be used in any way a man likes.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೊಂದು ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅವರು ದೇಶದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಳು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟರೇ ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—There are various sections under which these cases are launched. Some are for causing either harm to a person or property. There are various classes of cases. Cases which did not involve moral turpitude have been withdrawn by Government and others have not been withdrawn.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲವು ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರು (employees) ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದರೆ, switch on ಮಾಡಿ ಬಿಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೆದರಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಎಷ್ಟೋಜನ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರನ್ನು ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರ ಸಹಾಯದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬಂತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ಯಾರು co-operate ಮಾಡಿದರೋ ಅವರಮೇಲೆ ರೊಚ್ಚನ್ನು ತೀರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ಹೇಳಿದರೇ ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಬಹಳ ಜನ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಅಂಥವರಮೇಲೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—The Government are prepared to give them any protection they want, if it is necessary.

**Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.**—What were the properties damaged?

**Sri K. S. VASAN.**—These 21 cases are pending before the Courts of law and the Government are asserting that they are not going to withdraw the cases. Is it right on the part of some members to ask about the merits of the cases and answers being allowed?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I do not allow any question regarding the merits of the cases.

**Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.**—The Hon'ble Minister just now stated that there were cases which involved sabotage and where violence was used. Is it a fact?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Even the Hon'ble Member, Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy' asked the same question and it was allowed and answered. Only regarding the merits of the case nothing could be asked and answered.

**Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.**—I would like to make one statement very clear at this stage. We placed a charge-sheet and we alleged certain things in the charge-sheet. The charge-sheet is public property. What I have stated is nothing more nor nothing less than what is contained in the charge-sheet. Therefore, I have not entered into the merits of the case.

**Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.**—I asked the question what were the properties that were damaged and what was the value of the properties that were damaged.

**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.**—I gave a rough estimate of about Rs. 10,000.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಹೊರಗಡೆಯಿಂದ Workers' Union ನವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಸ್ಟ್ರೈಕಿಗೆ sympathies ತೋರಿಸಿ ಸಂದೇಶ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—Ballot ಯಾವರೀತಿ ಆಯಿತು ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—Ballot ಯಾವರೀತಿ ನಡೆಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಅಪ್ರಕೃತ. ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂಸಾಕೃತ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು ಎಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ಏನೂ ಅಲ್ಲ. ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರ ಮುಷ್ಕರದ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗಿ ಬೇರೆಯವರನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು ಕೆಲಸಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಯಿತು?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಬೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಯಾರಿಂದ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

(ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ)

ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವನಂಜೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಮುಷ್ಕರ ಹೂಡಲು ಎತ್ತಿಕಟ್ಟಿದ ಮುಖಂಡರಿಂದ ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಬಾರದು?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—That is not seeking for information.

---

## N. E. S. Block in Turuvekere.

*Q.*—460. **Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA** (Turuvekere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the National Extension Scheme Block is working in Turuvekere Taluk;

(*b*) the amount spent on it ever since the date of its sanction up to the end of January 1955; the several items of expenditure; and the portion of the expenditure that has to be met from the Villagers, Panchayets and the Government;

(*c*) the name of its administrative officer; whether there is any committee to assist him, if so, the names of the committee members?

*A.*—**Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA** (Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*) Yes.

| | Rs. | a. | p. |
|---|---|---|---|
| (*b*) Total expenditure to end of January 1955— | 83,963 | 9 | 6 |
| The several items of expenditure are:— | | | |
| (1) Personnel ... ... | 23,697 | 7 | 0 |
| (2) Transport and Equipment ... ... | 8,523 | 0 | 0 |